Paper Presentation Assignments

- Go over papers and dates
- Email me 3 top bids by Friday
- I will pick one

Mock PC on HotCRP

Security Research Methods II

Why write a paper?

- There are many reasons to write a paper:
 - Articulate a new idea, thought, or observation ...
 - Document your research ...
 - Talk about new (observed) phenomenon
 - Advance your career ...
 - Because you have to ...
 - Reality: publication is the coin of the realm in science, failure to do this successfully will lead to failure. You have to be effective at this to be a good (a) graduate student, (b) faculty member, or [sometimes] (c) researcher in professional research laboratory (IBM/AT&T/MS)

Paper evaluation

- •A paper is evaluated on
 - Novelty
 - Correctness
 - Impact
 - Presentation
 - Relevance
 - "hotness"



Parts of a paper

- Parts of paper (vast generalization)
- I.Abstract
- 2.Introduction
- 3.Related Work/Background
- **4.Solution/Problem**

- 5. Evaluation/Analysis/Experiment
- 6.Discussion (often, but not always)
- 7.Conclusions

Abstract

•One sentence each for:

- Area
 - Topic of work
- Problem
 - What's the issue?
- Solution
 - How do you propose to address the problem?
- Methodology
 - What's the experiment?
- Results
 - What did you find?
- Take Away: Lesson



Introduction

- One paragraph each on:
- Area
 - More elaborate
- Problem
 - Scenario
- Why is problem not solved
 - Brief of related work or the challenge
- Proposed insight ("In this paper, ...")
 - What is the experiment?
- Contributions -- What will the reader learn?
- Boilerplate outline (?)



Related work

- This is a statement of the work that led to this one.
 - who this work relies on
 - who has done work in the area
 - areas that inspired this work (not just technology)
 - Not a laundry list
- There are several reasons for related work section:
 - Motivate the current work
 - Differentiate from past work
 - Establish "bona fides"



Motivation, Background

Motivation

- Why is this a problem?
- Motivating Example: Alice...
- Why isn't the problem solved?
 - Forward/backward reference to the related work.
- **Problem, assumptions**: Problem statement, threat model, TCB.
- Background: What all does the reader need to know to understand your approach?
 - Already known material related to the solution
 - Tip: You can always move text from the design to the background, to focus on the novel contributions in the design.

System Architecture and Design

- How do you solve the problem?
- General Architecture / Overview
- What are the
 - Design Goals?
 - Challenges?
 - Contributions of your design (i.e., the design decisions) that help overcome the design challenges, hence achieving the design goals?

Experiment

• Experiment

- Means of showing truth
- Big Insight -- Hypothesis -- Claim
 - Show why it is interesting
- Expected Results



- Informal proof/argument that is true
- Experiment types
 - Empirical measure some aspect of the solution
 - Analytical prove something about solution
 - Observational show something about solution

Implementation

- •Experimental Platform
 - Exact specification of platform
 - Design may have more than implementation what did you implement?
 - How are key design features/mechanisms implemented?
 - Not the design: Separating the novel design contributions/decisions from their implementation is often a challenge)

Results vs Findings

- Results
 - Summarize -- what do the results mean?
 - Specific experiments
 - We did X, saw Y
 - What do the experiments prove
 - What other experiments would you want to do based on these results?
- Key Findings
 - What do the results mean?
 - What are the lessons?
 - Lead to the takeaway.

Conclusion

- •Like the abstract in past tense
- Problem
 - •What was the problem?
- Solution

•What was the insight and why was it expected to work?

- Method and Results
 - •What did you find?
- Take away: Lesson
- Future work



Hint

- Intro: tell them what you are going to tell them
- Body: tell them
- Conclusion: tell them what you told them.



Why is there so much bad research?

- Most papers (90%+) I encounter are bad --- for one or more of the following reasons. The authors ...
- ... don't formulate the problem well (or at all).
- ... don't motivate the problem well (or at all).
- ... address an unimportant or moot problem.
- ... are not familiar with the breadth or depth of the area.
- ... do not discuss important related work.
- ... don't realize the problem has been solved (or at least better addressed).
- ... don't have a coherent solution or it does not solve the problem.
- ... don't have a coherent or appropriate methodology.
- ... don't apply the methodology well.
- ... don't draw the correct conclusions from the results.
- ... don't present the work well enough to be understandable.
- ... don't articulate the take away.
- Any paper failing to do any of these things is a failure.

Security Research

- Almost as diverse as computer science itself
 - Systems design
 - Formal analysis
 - Programming languages
 - Hardware design
 - Software engineering
 - Human computer interfaces
 - Networking, ...
- Some are specific to security
 - Cryptography
 - Security protocol design
 - Security Policy, …



Note on Security Evaluation

- Important for most papers
- Can be
 - Formal Prove formal guarantees
 - Design arguments. Often at the end of each design choice.
 - Arguments considering the security model
 - Empirical, Observational.
 - State threats to validity



What is research?

- Which activities are research?
 - Designing a new protocol?
 - Building an implementation of a protocol?
 - Measuring the cost of the protocol?
 - Formally evaluating the correctness of a protocol?
 - Developing methods of implementing, evaluation a protocol?



What is not research?

- Arguing the quality of a protocol?
- Arguing the appropriateness of a protocol?
- Surveying a field?
- Illustrating a limitation of a common practice or system?



Research vs. engineering

- Novelty ...
- Importance ... (sort of)
- Discovering a new fact or idea



- Engineering is often harder than research
- One must be careful to understand the difference

Research vs. Opinion

- Arguing a position is not research unless it uncovers some new thought or new methodological device
 - Difference is subtle
- Experts will often produce manifesto about an area
 - E.g., Ten Risks of PKI: What You're Not Being Told About Public Key Infrastructure. C. Ellison and B. Schneier Computer Security Journal, v 16, n 1, 2000, pp. 1-7.
 - The key here is that they are *experts* and have the bona fides to make some an argument
 - This is not research

Writing a paper



Course Project

- End Result: *Research* Paper (8-10 page conference-style paper)
 - Motivation for an Experiment
 - Background
 - Related Work
 - Experimental Approach
 - Experimental Evaluation
- Start with an Existing System/Approach
 - Break It
- Improve It
 - Aim for a Research-Quality Result
 - Reproduce prior results

Course Project

- The course project requires the student execute some limited research in security.
 - Demonstrate applied knowledge
 - Be realistic and practical about what can be accomplished in a single semester.
 - Don't try to learn some new non-security field (e.g., if you do not know NLP, then...)
 - Don't rely on external resources you don't have (devices, servers, data)
 - However, the work should reflect real thought and effort.
- The grade will be based on the following factors: *novelty*, *depth*, *correctness*, *clarity of presentation*, and *effort*.
- Talk to me if you want to do something related to your research

Project Choice

- Create a Project Proposal and submit it to Blackboard (Deadline: September 21st
 - Ordered list of projects
 - Propose at least five unique projects in order of interest
 - Choose groups of 1, 2 (or 3 with approval)
 - A list of 2-3 meeting slots to meet with me to finalize the project (Office Hours + by appointment)

• Meeting with me prior to the deadline is recommended

- I have the end say on your project and group
 - Hopefully, I can resolve the constraints implied
 - One functional group per project

Topic Examples

- Mobile or IoT Systems
 - Design and build an Android security extension.
 - Evaluate the security of a specific class of Android apps via systematic analysis (e.g., IoT managers)
- Defenses for attacks seen elsewhere (Slashdot, BlackHat, DefCon, ...)
- Reproducibility study: Reproduce the results of a recent top conf paper (only if the source+dependencies are available).
- Note: picking a topic is very important, and should almost certainly involve an area that you know well

Project Speed Dating

- 09/14
- Look over past proceedings
- Find preliminary ideas to discuss
- I will organize groups in class so we can brainstorm and help each other

Bad Ideas

- An encryption library for IM/SMS.
 - Done... to death...
- Firewall rule checkers
- Steganographic schemes



- Anything that requires massive amounts of data that you can't get your hands on...
 - Online Game trends that require snapshots of all users...
- Anything that requires source code access to proprietary software