Speculative Execution Attacks

Background Branch Instructions

- Branch instructions
 - Instructions that change the flow of execution
 - Call, return, if, while, for, goto
- Branch Instructions require time to decode
- Conditional Branches
 - May or may not be taken
 - If, while
- Unconditional Branches
 - Will be taken
 - Call, return, goto

```
string inputString;
```

cout << "Enter student names (max of 50). Enter q to quit" << endl; int count = 0; bool continueInput = true;

```
while (count ++ < 50 && continueInput)
{
     cout << "Name: ";
     cin >> inputString;
     if (inputString == "q")
          continueInput = false;
}
```

Background Branch Predictors

Branch Predictors predict:

- 1. Where execution will go (target address)
- 2. Whether a branch (conditional) will be taken

BPs use history to determine prediction

Basic 2 bit branch predictor Dynamically update prediction

	Bit 1 - 0	Bit 1 - 1
Bit 2 - 0	Not taken	Not taken
Bit 2 - 1	Taken	Taken





Background: Misprediction?

What happens after a branch prediction is made?

Run in "Speculative Execution"

- 1. Save state of processor (registers, data, stack point, instruction point)
- 2. Then run in speculative execution
- 3. If prediction is correct Continue execution
- 4. Otherwise reset state of processor before misprediction

Mispredictions are costly as they reset the microarchitectural state

But not completely...



Spectre



Meltdown



MELTDOWN





Logically, reading from memory should work like this:

Spectre V1

if (x < array1_size) y = array2[array1[x] * 256];</pre>

Takes advantage of out of order checks

In speculative execution processor waits to perform authorization check until after speculative execution window

Allows attackers to read data they do not have access to (loads into cache)

Once data is in cache perform a cache side channel attack to read the data



Spectre v2

- 1. Attacker mistrains branch target
 - a. Allows attacker to point branch at their code
 - b. Attacker sets a "payload"
- 2. Victim runs program
- 3. Branch predictor mispredicts branch and execution starts at payload
- 4. Data from payload is loaded into cache
- 5. Attacker performs cache side channel to read data

Impact



Architecture

Intel, Apple

Entry

Must have code execution on the system

Method

Intel Privilege Escalation + Speculative Execution

Impact

Read kernel memory from user space

Action

Software patching

SPECTRE

Intel, Apple, ARM, AMD

Must have code execution on the system

Branch prediction + Speculative Execution

Read contents of memory from other users' running programs

Software patching (more nuanced)

Daniel Miessler 2018

Protections

- Meltdown is fixed with simple software update
- Spectre v1 and v2 are more difficult to protect against
- Protections are costly (worse performance)
- AMD recently released update (March 12th, 2022 to help protect against Spectre v2 attacks)

STBPU

Motivation and Idea

- Software fixes have significant performance costs
- Current fixes are targeting results of a problem not the root of the problem itself
- Want to make a branch predictor unit (BPU) that is secure and prevents programs from interfering with each other
- Use secret tokens to to prevent branches from interfering with each other

STBPU Threat Model

- Powerful attack, complete understanding of underlying hardware
- Cannot access ST
 - Stored in speical register that requires privileged mode to read
 - When stored by system software, it can only be read when complete system compromise
 - In this case attacker has no need for side channels
 - Bigger problems
- Spectre v1 not in scope, Spectre v2 is in scope
 - Different problems to fix each, require different fixes
- Two models
 - Sensitive Process as a victim
 - Kernel as the victim

STBPU Design: Secret Tokens

- Each process has a secret token (ST)
- STs are used in two places
 - Firstly to encrypt (and decrypt) entries in the BPU table
 - Secondly to be added to remapping functions to make them dependent on the ST
- Normal BPU
 - Uses a remapping function to look inside their lookup table to get prediction
 - Then sends prediction (both if taken and target address)
- STBPU
 - Remapping lookup is dependent on ST
 - Prediction result is encrypted with ST





STBPU: Security Evaluation

- In STBPU if attacker mistrains a branch
 - Victim runs that branch it will map to a different place in prediction table
 - Each process (victim and attacker) will have a different ST that maps to a different location in BPU
- In STBPU if attacker manages to have a branch collision (same place in lookup table)
 - Decoded branch will be different as each branch target is encrypted with ST of that process

Baseline input	STBPU input	Output	Function
32 s	32 ψ , 48 s	9 ind, 8 tag, 5 offs	$R_1(80 \mapsto 22)$
58 BHB	$32 \ \psi$, $58 \ \text{BHB}$	8 tag	$R_2(90 \mapsto 8)$
32 <i>s</i>	32 ψ , 48 s	14 ind	$R_3(80 \mapsto 14)$
18 GHR, 32 s	32ψ , 16 GHR, $48 s$	14 ind	$R_4(96 \mapsto 14)$
48 s, L (GHR)	32ψ , $48 s$, L(GHR)	10/13 ind, 8/12 tag	$R_t(80\uparrow \mapsto 25)$
48 s	32 ψ , 48 s	10 ind	$R_t(80 \mapsto 10)$
	Baseline input 32 s 58 BHB 32 s 18 GHR, 32 s 48 s, L (GHR) 48 s	Baseline inputSTBPU input $32 s$ 32ψ , $48 s$ $58 BHB$ 32ψ , $58 BHB$ $32 s$ 32ψ , $48 s$ $18 GHR$, $32 s$ 32ψ , $16 GHR$, $48 s$ $48 s$, L (GHR) 32ψ , $48 s$, L (GHR) $48 s$ 32ψ , $48 s$	Baseline inputSTBPU inputOutput $32 s$ 32ψ , $48 s$ 9 ind, 8 tag, 5 offs 58 BHB 32ψ , 58 BHB 8 tag $32 s$ 32ψ , 58 BHB 8 tag $32 s$ 32ψ , $48 s$ 14 ind 18 GHR , $32 s$ 32ψ , 16 GHR , $48 s$ 14 ind $48 s$, L (GHR) 32ψ , $48 s$, L (GHR)10/13 ind, $8/12 \text{ tag}$ $48 s$ 32ψ , $48 s$ 10 ind

(GHR) — represents geometric series of global history lengths

s - represents the source bits of branch instructions

ST Rerandomization

- Even with ST attacker can brute force to find ST
- OS keeps track of mispredictions and eviction from BPU. After a certain amount the OS gives new STs to all processes
 - Note information encoded in branch predictor (ie target addresses that are encrypted) are not reencoded
 - They will remain with previous encryption, however if we try to decrypt it, it will be with new ST so result will be different
- Too frequent rerandomization can affect performance
- Too infrequent rerandomization can harm security

Performance Evaluation

Trace testing to test against other models of secure BPUs

Used Gem5 to perform testing of STBPU model

- Evaluated STBPU implementation on 4 BPUs
 - Basic Branch Predictor
 - Perceptron Branch Predictor
 - TAGE Branch Predictor 8KB
 - TAGE Branch Predictor 64KB
- Used branch prediction accuracy and IPC (instructions per cycle) to measure performance vs baseline models

Accuracy vs Secure BPU Models

average ucode protection

STBPU - 99% accuracy

510. 512. povray

519.lbm 520.omnetpp

- Conservative 88%
- Ucode 77%

average µcode protection2

507.cactuBSSN

508.namd

505.mcf

503. bwaves

502.9^{cc}

OAE Prediction Accuracy normalized by baseline

0.8

500.peribench

Ucode2 - 82%



Gem5b IPC



Threshold Randomization Testing





https://meltdownattack.com/

https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.jsp?tp=&arnumber=9407076

https://spectrum.ieee.org/how-the-spectre-and-meltdown-hacks-really-worked